Welcome
Welcome to the 3rd Annual PROMIS Health Organization (PHO) conference! We gather to share PROMIS research with the ultimate goal to improve the quality of patient care. This program highlights the clinical utility of PROMIS in many areas of Medicine and Surgery within the US and throughout the world. As the PHO Program Chair, I was overwhelmed by the number of abstracts submitted and pleased by the innovations clinicians and researchers demonstrated using the PROMIS. This will be a creative, high energy, clinically centered meeting moving PROMIS to the forefront of outcomes. Thank you for attending and participating.

Judith Baumhauer, MD, MPH
Professor and Associate Chair of Orthopaedic Surgery,
University of Rochester Medical Center

Our Sponsors

PROMIS International Contacts
The PROMIS International Committee is a collaboration of scientists and clinicians designed to optimize and harmonize global use of PROMIS measures and related resources for research, clinical care, and population monitoring. The committee helps to identify, coordinate, and promote best practices to develop, translate, validate, and utilize PROMIS measures across countries. Information about the PROMIS International Committee, including national contact points, is available on the PROMIS website: promishealth.com/pho-international.
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PHO's Mission

The PROMIS® Health Organization (PHO) is a 501(c)(3) charitable foundation which was founded in 2008 by a group of scientists who were funded by the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) to develop and validate the PROMIS item banks. The PHO is a volunteer open society that welcomes members from around the world to bring the "patient’s voice" to the forefront of research and healthcare.

Our mission is to improve health outcomes by developing, maintaining, improving, and encouraging the application of the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS®).

PHO’s Main Goals

The four main goals of the PHO, which complement both the PHO’s mission and values, are to:

• Advance the science of health outcomes assessment;
• Disseminate standardized and validated health outcome metrics;
• Foster the development of new patient-reported health outcomes for diverse populations;
• Educate the scientific and clinical communities on the science of patient-reported outcomes.

Learn More

For more information about PROMIS and to access the measures visit healthmeasures.net and navigate to explore measurement systems: PROMIS, Neuro-QOL, ASCQ-Me™ and NIH Toolbox.

Assessment Center™ is an online data collection tool that enables researchers to create study-specific websites for capturing participant data securely online. Visit assessmentcenter.net to learn more.

About PHO

Join the PHO today!

Visit www.promishealth.com and become an active member of the PHO. We need your help to advance the use of PROMIS around the world.

Yearly Membership:

$100 per year fee for individuals

Benefits:

• Discounted registration fees to conferences
• No charge for monthly PHO member office hour & webinars
• Positive effects of associating with people who share your interests and goals
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**Concurrent Session I**

**Clinical**
8:30-10:00 am  
PROMIS For Care Assessment  
*Columbus A*  
*Moderators:* Charles Saltzman, MD, *University of Utah* and Wojciech Glinkowski, MD, PhD, *Medical University of Warsaw* Poland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract Number &amp; Title</th>
<th>Presenting Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(78) Patient Reported Outcomes Assessment helps improve Quality of Life in patients with Cirrhosis</td>
<td>Manisha Verma, MD, MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(24) Trends in PROMIS Scores in the Early Post-Operative Period Following Lateral Ankle Ligament Reconstructive Techniques</td>
<td>A. Samuel Flemister, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(28) PROMIS-29 in Elderly Hip Fracture Patients</td>
<td>Omar Halawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(73) Does baseline dominant hand grip strength correlate with changes in PROMIS-29 scores 3 months after surgical repair of low-trauma hip fracture?</td>
<td>Ridhi Sachdev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90) Using PROMIS to Guide Patient-Centered Conversations and Care in Inflammatory Arthritis: The Patient Perspective</td>
<td>Susan Bartlett, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(92) Using PROMIS to Guide Patient-Centered Conversations and Care in Inflammatory Arthritis: The Clinician Perspective</td>
<td>Susan Bartlett, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60) Validation of the PROMIS-29 in Elective Hip and Knee Arthroplasty Patients</td>
<td>Abigail M. Schmucker, BA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(71) Validation of the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Instruments in Patients with Rotator Cuff Disease</td>
<td>Brendan M. Patterson, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Clinical Utilization of Patient Reported Outcome (PROMIS) Scores for Surgical Reconstruction of Posterior Tibialis Tendon Dysfunction</td>
<td>Michael Anderson, MD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research**
8:30-10:00 am  
Developing & Validating PROMIS Instruments  
*Columbus B*  
*Moderators:* Karon Cook, PhD, *Northwestern University* and James Witter, MD, PhD FACR, *National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract Number &amp; Title</th>
<th>Presenting Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(14) Validity of the PROMIS Pain Behavior and Pain Interference item banks across diverse clinical samples and a general population</td>
<td>Caroline Terwee, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49) Cross-Linking Legacy Questionnaires with PROMIS Measures in Parkinson Disease Patients</td>
<td>Danielle S. Abraham, MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(65) Development of the PROMIS Pediatric Physical Activity Instruments</td>
<td>Carole A Tucker, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(66) Development of the PROMIS Pediatric Strength Impact Instruments</td>
<td>Carole A Tucker, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(68) PROMIS Pediatric Measures of Family Relationships: Development and Psychometric Evaluation</td>
<td>Katherine Bevans, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(69) PROMIS Pediatric Measures of Stress Experiences: Development and Psychometric Evaluation</td>
<td>Katherine Bevans, PhD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Concurrent Session II**

**Clinical**

10:15–12:00

Columbus A

**Implementing PROMIS In Clinical Practices**

**Moderators:** Lisa Shulman, MD, University of Maryland and Jordi Alonso, MD, PhD, MPH

Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institut (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract Number &amp; Title</th>
<th>Presenting Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6) Barriers to Provider Adoption of Patient Reported Outcomes for Clinical Use by Orthopaedic Surgeons</td>
<td>Kathleen Fear, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(22) The Physical Function and Upper Extremity Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information Systems in Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>Eitan M. Kohan, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(41) Utilization of PROMIS in Board Certification: American Board of Orthopaedic Surgery (ABOS) Patient Reported Outcomes Program</td>
<td>David F. Martin, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(86) Impact of Patient Demographics on Time to Completion for Electronically Administered PROMIS and VAS Forms in Ambulatory Orthopaedic Clinics</td>
<td>Toufic B. Jildeh, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16) Validity and Clinical Utility of the PROMIS Family Relationships Measure in Children with Chronic Conditions</td>
<td>Harald Kliems, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30) Performance of PROMIS Short Forms and PROFILE-29 in Golimumab- or Infliximab-Treated Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients</td>
<td>Clifton Bingham III, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(61) Construct Validation of PROMIS Short Form and Profile-29 T-Scores with SF-36 in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients</td>
<td>Clifton Bingham III, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(76) Feasibility and Implementation of PROMIS CAT as Part of Standard of Care in an Orthopaedic Spine Population</td>
<td>Raj Karia, MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(79) Implementing PROMIS as standard of care in a high surgical volume, nationally leading orthopedic hospital</td>
<td>Matthew Titemuss, PT, DPT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research**

10:15–12:00

Columbus B

**Unique PROMIS Applications**

**Moderators:** Richard Gerzhenz, PhD, Northwestern University and Christopher Forrest, MD, PhD, Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract Number &amp; Title</th>
<th>Presenting Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(15) Reference values and measurement invariance of the Profile 29 in the UK, France and Germany</td>
<td>Felix Fischer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(23) Different Perceptions of Hip and Knee Arthritis Burden: A Study of Patients and Their Significant-Others</td>
<td>Samuel Kunkel, MD, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(67) Differential item functioning of Spanish PROMIS emotional distress domains between two Spanish speaking populations</td>
<td>Gemma Vilagut, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19) Consensus of the Utility of a “Snapshot” Tool to Interpret PROMIS Physical Function T-Scores</td>
<td>Jeff Houck, PT, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(82) Validation and Reliability of PROMIS in American Sign Language</td>
<td>Poorrna Kushalnagar, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(51) Using PROMIS-29 to Identify Frailty and Predict Adverse Events in Total Joint Arthroplasty (TJA) Patients</td>
<td>Abigail M. Schmucker, BA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Concurrent Session III

### Clinical

**1:30–3:00**

**Columbus A**

**Interpreting PROMIS Scores**

*Moderators: Clifton Bingham III, MD, Johns Hopkins University and Rachel Hess, MD, MS, University of Utah*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract Number &amp; Title</th>
<th>Presenting Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(5) Bookmarking PROMIS Physical Function t-scores to Improve Clinical Interpretation and Application</td>
<td>Jeff Houck, PT, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25) The Value of PROMIS Physical Function for Predicting Physical Performance in Patients with Various Conditions</td>
<td>Gregor Liegl, Mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(62) PROMIS in Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT)</td>
<td>Bronwen E Shaw, MD, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(62) The Relationship of PROMIS-10 Physical Function Change and Satisfaction Following Total Knee Arthroplasty</td>
<td>Michael T. Torchio, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Can PROMIS-29 Help Predict Mortality in Elderly Hip Fracture Patients?</td>
<td>Ridhi Sachdev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(48) The Validity of PROMIS Instruments Among Individuals with Adult Spinal Deformity</td>
<td>Andrew J Pugely, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Generalizability and Validation of PROMIS Scores to Predict Surgical Success in Foot and Ankle Patients: A Tale of Two Academic Centers</td>
<td>Judy Baumhauer, MD, MPH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Research

**1:30–3:00**

**Columbus B**

**Advanced Validation Methods**

*Moderators: David Cella, PhD, Northwestern University and Caroline Terwee, PhD, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract Number &amp; Title</th>
<th>Presenting Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(9) PROMIS Captures Variability in Physical Function Across Hand Conditions</td>
<td>Jason Guattery, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) PROMIS Predicts Increased Resource Utilization After Carpal Tunnel Release</td>
<td>Jason Guattery, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(35) Accuracy of the PROMIS-57 depression and anxiety scales in kidney transplant recipients</td>
<td>Aarushi Bansal, HBSc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(43) PROMIS-29 Profile in the Longitudinal Research on Aging Drivers (LongROAD)</td>
<td>Thelma J Meilenz, PT, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75) Relationship Between Sexual Functioning and Fatigue and Pain in Women with Multiple Sclerosis</td>
<td>Dagmar Antmann, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30) PROMIS depression measures versus legacy PROMs and structured diagnostic interview for depression in cancer patients</td>
<td>Madeleine T. King, PhD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Presentations  
Alphabetical by presenter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Abstract No. &amp; Title</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Rm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Abraham</td>
<td>49 Cross Linking Legacy Questionnaires with PROMIS Measures in Parkinson Disease Patients</td>
<td>I. Research; Developing and Validating PROMIS Instruments</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dagmar Amtmann</td>
<td>75 Relationship Between Sexual Functioning and Fatigue and Pain in Women with Multiple Sclerosis</td>
<td>III. Research; Advanced Validation Methods</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Anderson</td>
<td>1 Clinical Utilization of Patient Reported Outcome (PROMIS) Scores for Surgical Reconstruction of Posterior Tibialis Tendon Dysfunction</td>
<td>I. Clinical; PROMIS for Care Assessment</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarushi Bhandal</td>
<td>35 Accuracy of the PROMIS-57 depression and anxiety scales in kidney transplant recipients</td>
<td>III. Research; Advanced Validation Methods</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Bartlett</td>
<td>90 Using PROMIS to Guide Patient-Centered Conversations and Care in Inflammatory Arthritis: The Patient Perspective</td>
<td>I. Clinical; PROMIS for Care Assessment</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Bartlett</td>
<td>92 Using PROMIS to Guide Patient-Centered Conversations and Care in Inflammatory Arthritis: The Clinician Perspective</td>
<td>I. Clinical; PROMIS for Care Assessment</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith Baumhauer</td>
<td>4 Show Me the Money: How Can Patient Reported Outcomes (PRO) Result in Health Cost Savings?</td>
<td>III. Clinical; Interpreting PROMIS Scores</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith Baumhauer</td>
<td>7 Generalizability and Validation of PROMIS Scores to Predict Surgical Success in Foot and Ankle Patients: A Tale of Two Academic Centers</td>
<td>III. Clinical; Interpreting PROMIS Scores</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Bevans</td>
<td>68 PROMIS Pediatric Measures of Family Relationships Development and Psychometric Evaluation</td>
<td>I. Research; Developing and Validating PROMIS Instruments</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Bevans</td>
<td>69 PROMIS Pediatric Measures of Stress Experiences Development and Psychometric Evaluation</td>
<td>I. Research; Developing and Validating PROMIS Instruments</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton Bingham</td>
<td>30 Performance of PROMIS Short Forms and PROFILE-29 in Golimumab- or Infliximab-Treated Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practices</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton Bingham</td>
<td>61 Construct Validation of PROMIS Short Form and Profile-29 T-Scores in Golimumab Arthritis Patients</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practices</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Furr</td>
<td>6 Barriers to Provider Adoption of Patient Reported Outcomes for Clinical Use by Orthopaedic Surgeons</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practices</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felix Fischer</td>
<td>15 Reference values and measurement invariance of the Profile 29 in the UK, France and Germany</td>
<td>II. Research; Unique PROMIS Applications</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oral Presentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Abstract No. &amp; Title</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Rm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Samuel Flemister</td>
<td>24 Trends in PROMIS Scores in the Early Post-Operative Period Following Lateral Ankle Ligament Reconstructive Techniques</td>
<td>I. Clinical; PROMIS for Care Assessment</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Quatery</td>
<td>9 PROMIS Captures Variability in Physical Function Across Hand Conditions</td>
<td>III. Research; Advanced Validation Methods</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Quatery</td>
<td>10 PROMIS Predicts Increased Resource Utilization After Carpal Tunnel Release</td>
<td>III. Research; Advanced Validation Methods</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omar Halasa</td>
<td>28 PROMIS-29 in Elderly Hip Fracture Patients</td>
<td>I. Clinical; PROMIS for Care Assessment</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Houck</td>
<td>5 Bookmarking PROMIS Physical Function t-scores to Improve Clinical Interpretation and Application</td>
<td>III. Clinical; Interpreting PROMIS Scores</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Houck</td>
<td>19 Consensus of the Utility of a “Snapshot” Tool to Interpret PROMIS Physical Function T-Scores</td>
<td>II. Research; Unique PROMIS Applications</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Than Hail</td>
<td>86 Impact of Patient Demographics on Time to Completion for Electronically Administered PROMIS and VAS Forms in Ambulatory Orthopaedic Clinics</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practice</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raj Karra</td>
<td>78 Feasibility and Implementation of PROMIS CAT as Part of Standard of Care in an Orthopaedic Spine Population</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practice</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeline King</td>
<td>39 PROMIS depression measures versus legacy PROMs and structured diagnostic interview for depression in cancer patients</td>
<td>III. Research; Advanced Validation Methods</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Klemo</td>
<td>18 Validity and Clinical Utility of the PROMIS Family Relationships Measure in Children with Chronic Conditions</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practice</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eitan Kohan</td>
<td>22 The Physical Function and Upper Extremity Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System in Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practice</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Kunkel</td>
<td>23 Different Perceptions of Hip and Knee Arthritis Burden: A Study of Patients and Their Significant-Others</td>
<td>II. Research; Unique PROMIS Applications</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorna Kashyap</td>
<td>82 Validation and Reliability of PROMIS in American Sign Language</td>
<td>II. Research; Unique PROMIS Applications</td>
<td>Col B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregor Liegl</td>
<td>25 The Value of PROMIS Physical Function for Predicting Physical Performance in Patients with Various Conditions</td>
<td>III. Clinical; Interpreting PROMIS Scores</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Martin</td>
<td>41 Utilization of PROMIS in Board Certification: American Board of Orthopaedic Surgery (ABOS) Patient Reported Outcomes Program</td>
<td>II. Clinical; Implementing PROMIS in Clinical Practice</td>
<td>Col A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Poster Presentations

Alphabetical by presenter

Presenter

Abstract No. & Title

Session

Rm

Michael Anderson

2

The ability of PROMIS Scales to Predict Patient Acceptable Symptom State at an average of 14 Month Follow Up after Foot and Ankle Surgery

Col A

Susan Bartlett

91

Pain, Physical Function, and Worry Lead to Greater Fatigue in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Col A

Judith F. Baumhauer

29

The Road to Recovery for Bunion Surgery: Data Analytic Plots to Target Patient Progress

Col A

Judith Baumhauer

31

Reading the future: Predicting who will benefit from Bunion surgery

Col A

Brandon D. Becker

37

An Overview of The Pediatric Patient Reported Outcomes in Chronic Diseases (PEPR) Consortium

Col A

Joshua Bindon

76

Efficacy of a Dedicated Research Assistant for Collection of Patient Report Outcomes Data

Col A

Nathan Bleigh

47

PROMIS: A Case-Study in Diffusion of Innovation

Col A

John Eric Chaplin

11

In Sweden we PROMIS

Col A

John Eric Chaplin

12

A Swedish protocol for PROMIS validation and feasibility

Col A

Amp M. Cizik

49

Measuring Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) in a High Volume Trauma Clinic in Felvis and Acetabular Fracture Patients Using PROMIS CAT: A Preliminary Study

Col A

C. Eduardo Correa

53

Does PROMIS Reflect Hearing Status Enough to Supplant a Hearing-Specific Quality of Life Instrument?

Col A

Chris Dasilva

58

PROMIS scores following total knee arthroplasty demonstrate no further improvement between 6 months post-operatively to greater than 1 year post-operative

Col A

Chris Dasilva

55

Early PROMIS scores 6 weeks following total knee arthroplasty (TKA) can identify patients who are unlikely to demonstrate improvement at greater than one year follow-up

Col A

Madeline Epstein

21

Relevance and Utility of PROMIS Instruments in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE): A Qualitative Study

Col A

Wojciech Glinkowski

94

Physical function and pain interference of Patients with severe bilateral Hip Osteoarthritis are comparable prior the first primary Total Hip Replacement surgery and before contralateral THR

Col A

Barbara Hackley

18

Development of Patient-Reported Outcome Instrument for Social Determinants of Health

Col A

Jeff Hoach

57

Psychometric Evaluation of the PROMIS Physical Function CAT, PROMIS Pain Interference CAT, PROMIS Depression CAT, and ASES for a Variety Shoulder Diagnoses
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